From:	Foster Mark
To:	Foster Mark; Wilson Alan (CPD)
Subject:	FW: TCT Update
Date:	01 December 2011 21:01:10

MARK FOSTER CENG MIMECHE SPM - ROLLING STOCK & SYSTEMS INTEGRATION BCV LINES UPGRADE PROGRAMME, LUL LINE UPGRADES, TEMPLAR HOUSE, 81-87 HIGH HOLBORN LONDON WC1V 6NU MOBILE:

FAX: EMAIL: MARK.FOSTER

From: Szpytko Elisabeth [mailto:Elisabeth.Szpytko Sent: 31 March 2010 08:35 To: Foster Mark Cc: Camidge Peter (LU); Stokes Louise (LU) Subject: RE: TCT Update] On Behalf Of Carlton Olivia

Dear Mark,

It depends on the work that you are going to be doing. If the work that you are going to be doing will generate exposures to any of the substances listed in table 1 attached then measurements will have to be undertaken to ensure that exposure limits are being adhered to.

If the only dust being generated is tunnel dust, then the nuisance dust levels apply.

Best wishes, Olivia Dr Olivia Carlton Head of Occupational Health Auto: Main Content: Email disclaimer

The following information relates to all emails sent by Occupational Health:

This email is intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the addressee, please do not print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on it or any attachments. Instead, please alert the sender and then immediately permanently delete it. Unless otherwise expressly agreed by the sender of this email, this communication may contain privileged or confidential information. Receiving and reading this email obliges you to maintain the highest standards of confidentiality. Personal information concerning any employee should only be shared with those who need this information – you are reminded that any breach of confidentiality could lead to disciplinary proceedings.

Please remember that the information contained in this email and any reply may be subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, see link <u>http://source.tfl/OurCompany/Governance/3467.aspx</u> or the Data Protection Act 1998 <u>http://source.tfl/OurCompany/Governance/3507.aspx</u>

From: Foster Mark [mailto:Mark.Foster Sent: 25 March 2010 14:23 To: Carlton Olivia; Camidge Peter Cc: Stokes Louise Subject: RE: TCT Update

Olivia I was provided with the attachment Safety Executive Document EH40/05. Do I need to call it up or does the clause cover all that I need. Regards Mark

MARK FOSTER

SPM - ROLLING STOCK & SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

BCV LINES UPGRADE PROGRAMME

LUL LINE UPGRADES,

3RD FLOOR, EUSTON HOUSE, 24 EVERSHOLT STREET, LONDON NW1 1AD



FAX:

EMAIL: MARK.FOSTER

-----Original Message-----From: Szpytko Elisabeth [mailto:Elisabeth.Szpytko Sent: 25 March 2010 13:33 To: Camidge Peter (LU) Cc: Foster Mark; Stokes Louise (LU) Subject: RE: TCT Update

Dear Peter,

I would suggest that the clause appears after the performance clauses. I am not sure what Mark means in relation to statutory requirements. Can you clarify?

Best wishes Olivia Dr Olivia Carlton Head of Occupational Health Auto: Main Content: Email disclaimer

The following information relates to all emails sent by Occupational Health:

This email is intended solely for the addressee. If you are not the addressee, please do not print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on it or any attachments. Instead, please alert the sender and then immediately permanently delete it. Unless otherwise expressly agreed by the sender of this email, this communication may contain privileged or confidential information. Receiving and reading this email obliges you to maintain the highest standards of confidentiality. Personal information concerning any employee should only be shared with those who need this information – you are reminded that any breach of confidentiality could lead to disciplinary proceedings.

Please remember that the information contained in this email and any reply may be subject to disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, see link <u>http://source.tfl/OurCompany/Governance/3467.aspx</u> or the Data Protection Act 1998<u>http://source.tfl/OurCompany/Governance/3507.aspx</u>

From: Camidge Peter Sent: 22 March 2010 15:27 To: Carlton Olivia Cc: Foster Mark (MR) Subject: FW: TCT Update

Olivia,

I would appreciate your advice on how we treat the tunnel dust requirements in the Tender documentation.

Barry Hawkins researched this for me with you team but he has now been "OCR'd" elsewhere in LU.

Mark Foster is now the project manager and has included a proposal below.

Thanks for your help,

Peter

From: Foster Mark [mailto:Mark.Foster Sent: 22 March 2010 09:33 To: Camidge Peter Subject: RE: TCT Update

Peter

As discussed this is the current clause. I feel I would like it to appear after the performance clauses but want to make sure it relates to both that and the statutory requirements. Thanks Mark

1.1 Tunnel air quality post clean

1.1.1 Atmospheric dust levels shall be no worse after cleaning than prior to cleaning. If this is not achievable the Supplier is to specify the time elapsed after completion of a cleaning run when the respirable dust levels will be no worse than before the tunnel cleaning took place. Typical dust composition and combustibility information from recent analysis on the Victoria Line are contained in two 4-Rail reports, see Appendix 1. Analysis of the respirable dust has revealed that 80% of the dust is made up of iron and that the Pm10 size is < 10 μg/m3 with a mean of 7 μg/m3; Pm2.5 4mg/m3 respirable particles averaged over an 8 hour period. Link to 5.2</p>

SPM - ROLLING STOCK & SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

BCV LINES UPGRADE PROGRAMME

LUL LINE UPGRADES,

3RD FLOOR, EUSTON HOUSE, 24 EVERSHOLT STREET, LONDON NW1 1AD



EMAIL: MARK.FOSTER

-----Original Message-----From: Camidge Peter [mailto:Peter.Camidge Sent: 11 February 2010 15:31 To: Foster Mark Cc: Fernandes Adam (LU) Subject: RE: TCT Update

Mark,

I am not sure what help this will be to setting a standard that sets the cleaning requirements.

The link to the publication follows <u>http://books.hse.gov.uk/hse/public/saleproduct.jsf?</u> catalogueCode=9780717629770 and the attachment details the exposure limits.

Barry Hawkins did a lot of work on this for us this time last year and his recommendations are reproduced below:

Meeting with Olivia Carlton on 7 April 09

From the meeting with Olivia came information on particle size

There are three bands defining respirable particle size:

Pm¹⁰ Particle size is 10 microns or smaller.

Pm^{2.5} Particle size is 2.5 microns or smaller.

Pm^{1.0} Particle size is 1.0 microns or smaller.

These size particles are not visible to the naked eye.

Also analysis of the respiritable dust has revealed that 80% of the dust is made up of iron.

It would seem a sensible move to put into the technical specification the requirement for magnets as this dust would be very effectively removed if magnets were incorporated into the cleaning train.

Olivia has suggested we need a statement in the specification along the lines of "After the dust has settled (2 hours) following the completion of cleaning the levels of respirable dust shall not be worse than before the cleaning commenced".

There is a BS on Workplace atmospheres - Size fraction definitions for measurement of airborne particles

BS EN 481 :1993 BS 6069 :Section 3.6 :11993.

I have a couple of reports on the effects of dust but it is probably best not to add to your burden.

I suggest that we get Olivia Carlton, Head of LU Occupational Health to review the cleaning section of the spec prior to dispatch – I can arrange that.

Hope this helps

Peter

From: Foster Mark [mailto:Mark.Foster Sent: 11 February 2010 15:03 To: Camidge Peter Subject: RE: TCT Update

It is but we need to discuss it further to conclusion as Bob suggested the use of Safety Executive Document EH40/05 as a performance criteria.

I have not followed up on it yet, do you happen to have a copy?

MARK FOSTER

SPM - ROLLING STOCK & SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

BCV LINES UPGRADE PROGRAMME,

LUL LINE UPGRADES,

3RD FLOOR, EUSTON HOUSE, 24 EVERSHOLT STREET, LONDON NW1 1AD

)	MOBILE:
	FAX:
±.	EMAIL: MARK.FOSTER
	Original Message From: Camidge Peter [mailto:Peter.Camidge Sent: 11 February 2010 14:53 To: Foster Mark Subject: RE: TCT Update

Thanks Mark

Was my contribution on the cleaning standard of any use?

Regards

Peter

From: Foster Mark [mailto:Mark.Foster Sent: 11 February 2010 14:52 To: Camidge Peter; Fernandes Adam; Castell Paul Cc: Foster Mark (MR) Subject: TCT Update

Status update of where we are.

I am currently trawling through the technical specification with Guy.

I will then be ensuring that appropriate Standards are called up so that the Tenderers can respond accordingly. Clive has generally completed the rest and only changes brought about because of the Technical spec will be needed.

Gilbert and Bob have been putting together the basis of the overarching TCT specification and the Motive Power Unit Specification so that it is fully joined up going forwards.

I am very sorry for the delay but am hoping that the works carried out now will minimise the number of questions during the tender process.

Cheers Mark

MARK FOSTER

SPM - ROLLING STOCK & SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

BCV LINES UPGRADE PROGRAMME,

LUL LINE UPGRADES,

3RD FLOOR, EUSTON HOUSE, 24 EVERSHOLT STREET, LONDON NW1 1AD



The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify postmaster@fl.gov.uk. This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies can be found at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany. This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or

entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited., If you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited., If you have received this email in error please notify postmaster@tfl.gov.uk., This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies can be found at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany, This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify postmaster@tfl.gov.uk. This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies can be found at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany. This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify postmaster@thl.gov.uk. This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies can be found at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany. This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

The contents of the e-mail and any transmitted files are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. Transport for London hereby exclude any warranty and any liability as to the quality or accuracy of the contents of this email and any attached transmitted files. If you are not the intended recipient be advised that you have received this email in error and that any use, dissemination, forwarding, printing or copying of this email is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error please notify postmaster@tfl.gov.uk. This email has been sent from Transport for London, or from one of the companies within its control within the meaning of Part V of the Local Government and Housing Act 1989. Further details about TfL and its subsidiary companies can be found at http://www.tfl.gov.uk/ourcompany. This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.